

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,089	10/02/2001	Susheng Wang	- EMU3020 US - 18085.105310	9533
20786	7590 11/25/2003		EXAMINER	
KING & SPALDING 191 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.			KIFLE, BRUCK	
ATLANTA, GA 30303-1763			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	22
			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Aı	pplication No.	Applicant(s)
OFF: A - 4!		9/970,089	WANG ET AL.
Office Action Summar	V E	caminer	Art Unit
		uck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appear	s on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for - Any reply received by the Office later than three moves armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7046 Status	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). communication. irty (30) days, a reply withi um statutory period will ap reply will, by statute, caus onths after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a re- in the statutory minimum of thirty ply and will expire SIX (6) MONT se the application to become ABA	rply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Septe	mber 2003	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action		
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pr	tion for allowance	except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	p.		11, 100 0.0. 210.
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5 and 23-48</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5,23,24 and 26-48</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to res	is/are withdrawn fr e rejected. o.	om consideration.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a		d or b) objected to by	v the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any o			
) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objecte	d to by the Examir	ner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of 1.☐ Certified copies of the prior	of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office acts 13) Acknowledgment is made of a clair	ity documents haves of the priority do ational Bureau (PC ction for a list of the	re been received in App ocuments have been re T Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not re	eceived in this National Stage
since a specific reference was inclu 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign	ded in the first sen	tence of the specificati	ion or in an Application Data Sheet.
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clair reference was included in the first s	n for domestic prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. 88	\$ 120 and/or 121 since a specific
ttachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449		5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/970,089

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant's amendments and remarks filed 9/11/03 have been received and reviewed.

Claims 5 and 23-48 are now pending in this application.

Claim 25 is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 5, 23, 24 and 26-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- i) Regarding the terms "cycloalkyl", "cycloalkenyl" and "cycloalkynyl", Applicants point to page 389, line 13 to page 390, line 13. None of these groups are defined in these lines and one skilled cannot say what size ring is intended or how many rings are intended (monocyclic, bicyclic, spiro, fused, bridged, etc.).
- ii) Regarding the terms "heterocyclic", "heteroaryl" and "heteroaromatic", Applicants point to page 391, line 30 to page 392, line 26 of the specification. The definition in these lines does not say how many atoms are present, how many of each kind of heteroatom is involved, what size ring is intended or how many rings are present. It is unclear which phosphorous containing heteroaryl groups Applicants intend.
- iii) Regarding the groups "alkcarbonyl", "carbonyl", carboxylic acid", "ester", "carbamate", "amide", "sulfonyl", "sulfanyl", "sulfanyl", "sulfamoyl", "phosphonyl", "phosphinyl", "phosphine", "a residue of a natural or synthetic amino acid" and as "a residue of a natural or synthetic carbohydrate", Applicants were unable to say how these could be radicals. This rejection remains because the groups recited above are not substituents which either fulfill the valence requirement or lack open valencies to be bonded to the remaining of the molecule.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,089

Art Unit: 1624

v) In claims 23, 24, 26, 37 and 38 the last line reads "optionally in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier." This is incorrect because a pharmaceutical composition always requires a carrier.

Claims 23, 24 and 26-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The basis of this rejection is the same as given in the previous office action and is reproduced herein. Applicants argue that these claims are now directed to a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of these diseases. However, the treatment of "autoimmune disorders" generally is an unprecedented feat. For a pharmaceutical composition or genus to be effective against "autoimmune disorders" generally is contrary to medical science. The "autoimmune disorders" are a process that can take place in virtually any part of the body. There is a vast range of forms that it can take, causes for the problem, and biochemical pathways that mediate the inflammatory reaction. There are hundreds of such diseases, which have fundamentally different mechanisms and different underlying causes. There are both chronic and acute "autoimmune disorders", most of which lack satisfactory treatment. The intractability of these disorders is clear evidence that the skill level in this art is low relative to the difficulty of the task. Under such circumstances, it is proper for the PTO to require evidence that such an unprecedented feat has actually been accomplished, In re Ferens, 163 USPQ 609. No such evidence has been presented in this case. The failure of skilled scientists to achieve a goal is substantial evidence that achieving such a goal is beyond the skill of practitioners in that art, Genentech vs Novo Nordisk, 42 USPQ2nd 1001, 1006.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,089

Art Unit: 1624

Similarly, "inflammation" is not a single disorder which can be treated using a single pharmaceutical composition.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-305-4484. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund J. Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

BK

November 21, 2003